

Dick, Sylvia, Howard,

1/16/71

Several hurried comments on Dick's 1/8.

I believe it a good idea to have a simple comment on the military ammo included. It need be no more than a parenthetical insertion "(such behavior is precluded by designs required by the Geneva convention)". There may be some readers to whom this knowledge may be important, who will not understand it. That you intend reference to any bullet, not just a military round, is not really enough, even though it is true. (perhaps "especially" should be included in my suggestion). Do not lose sight of the official mythology, that this was a full-jacketed military round and in these few words point

1/8. night. First I had to make a rush trip to DC and then to Delaware for a trial from which I've just returned to file a lengthy, detailed, persuasive but very wrong response to one of my suits to which I must, with concentration, address myself, and I must also go to the clinic for therapy tomorrow, so I have to knock this off.

Ed's Par 1: I can't follow your reasoning,, right requires definiteness in view of the bend in the street (thus, a shot from JFK's right could actually be from dead ahead, directly west, etc.)

Par 27. I think it would be more accurate to say that with the tiniest fragments glowing like fluorescent lights, it had the effect of seeming to lenage them

I think I also had another point, but can't remember now.

Hastily,